

We work with others to protect the health of the people of Washington State by ensuring safe and reliable drinking water.



DRINKING WATER SOURCE PROTECTION FUNDING

Washington State Department of Health Office of Drinking Water "...the culture and spirituality of the four Columbia River treaty tribes is *inextricably tied to the water,* that is the support to all life, and to the salmon."

-Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, *emphasis added*





- Who are we?
- Who's in the room?
- Do you know where your community gets its water from?
- How much do you know about source water protection?



- Sync local plans with water system plans
- Good working relationships between local governments & water systems
- Establishing wellhead protection areas (WHPAs) & benefits of upgrading to modeling
- Source water protection funding available from DOH

What Do Local Plans Have to Do with Water?

- Service area land use & zoning (source water protection, ID pressure/lift issues, main sizing)
- Practicality—system growth & capital investments vs. where new growth is planned to occur
- Zoning dictates land use in wellhead protection areas (WHPAs)
 - Many local jurisdictions overlook small systems in planning
- Critical Areas Ordinance—critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs—relationship with WHPA)

Required Groundwater Planning

- Protecting quality & quantity of groundwater used for public water supply
- Required content in comp plan land-use element
- 1984—All local jurisdictions statewide RCW 35.63.090—towns & non-code cities RCW 35A.63.061(1)—code cities RCW 36.70.330(1)—counties
- 1990—GMA (RCW 36.70A.070(1))—all fully planning jurisdictions



Local jurisdictions need to know Water availability Available level of service Source water protection needs

Critical Intersection: Where Local Jurisdictions & Water Systems Need to Communicate

Water systems need to know

What kind of development to expect

Where new development will go

Development proposals in WHPAs

Who's Leading the Charge? In practice As required One informs the other informs the other informs the other... "Consistency with local plans & regulations" (WAC 246-290-108) Water system plan complies with comp plan

What is Source Water Protection, Anyway?

- Actions, not policies
- Preventative, not reactive
- Tailored & planning-based considering such things as geography, surroundings, future growth & land uses, capacity, & emergency response
- Actively maintained (current/updated)
- Bottom line: steps to keep your drinking water clean, reliable and drinkable!

A changing climate

Washington State Integrated Climate Response Strategy

- Increasing demands on water resources
- Increasing water quality degradation
- More frequent and intense droughts and floods
- Excessive water withdrawals, increasing conflicts
- Loss of species, habitats, ecosystems



Images credit: Center for Science of the Earth System, Climate Impacts Group, University of Washington

Source Water Protection 101

- 1996 amendments to federal Safe Drinking Water Act
- WAC 246-290-135—sanitary control area, wellhead protection program (wells and springs), watershed control program (surface water/GWI)
- Part of Group A water system plan or small water system management program (WAC 246-290-100 or -105)
- For Group B—within WAC 246-291-125

Surface Water Protection Requirements

- Watershed control plan
 - Watershed description
 - Identification of activities & land uses detrimental to water quality
 - Watershed management & control measures
 - System operation
 - Documentation of water quality trends
- Update as needed (at least with water system plan update)

Surface Water Protection Areas



Contamination is Expensive!

A community may spend millions of dollars responding to contamination.

Responding to contamination is about 200 times more costly than prevention.



Can your community afford it?



<u>Case Study</u>: Elk River Spill, January 2014 Crude MCHM (4-methylcyclohexanemethanol) is chemical foam/wash agent used in coal processing

Up to 10,000 gals. of MCHM combined with PPH (glycol ethers) leaked onto ground then transited into the Elk River, 1.5 miles upstream of the West Virginia American Water intake

300,000 customers Up to nine-day "do not drink" order



- National Guard engaged to distribute water
- Business, school
 & public sector
 closures
- Declared state of emergency
- Replaced all treatment plant filters (\$1.2M)
- Total cost \$70M

Contamination Leads to Change

As a result, West Virginia lawmakers passed legislation to protect drinking water

- Required planning
- Established an early warning monitoring & response system
 - Baseline monitoring
 - Alternatives for real-time source water monitoring
 - Real-time sensor monitoring system considerations
 - Consequence management
 - Communication planning

Make Your Source Protection Meaningful

- Watershed control plan
 - Watershed description
 - o Identify activities & land uses detrimental to water quality
 - Watershed management and control measures
 - System operation
 - Document water quality trends
- Consider a regional approach
 - Work with other utilities
 - Engage the public



 Communicate with local government & emergency response agencies

Wellhead Protection Requirements

- Source water susceptibility assessment
- Sanitary control area (SCA)
- Wellhead protection program
 - Define & map WHPAs
 - Inventory of potential contamination sources
 - Notify others of findings
 - Contingency planning
 - Coordination with local emergency responders
- Repeat inventory & notification every two years
- Update as needed (at least with water system plan update)

What are Wellhead Protection Areas?



Defining Wellhead Protection Areas

- What are the methods?
 - o Modeled
 - Calculated Fixed Radius
- How do you decide the best method?
 - Landscape
 - Source susceptibility
 - Available data
 - o Cost

Methods



Increasing reliability, complexity, and cost.



Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) Map <u>fortress.wa.gov/doh/swap/index</u>



1



Calculated Fixed Radius (CFR)

Most common

Red—6-month TOT Orange—1-year TOT Green—5-year TOT Teal—10-year TOT



Math formula using operational characteristics

Theoretical approach; doesn't consider topography, soils, groundwater flow, etc.



Modeled



Irregularly shaped

Considers physical land & water features

In practice, best means of protecting source water







Toppenish



Elma WA State DOH | 28



Parkland Power & Light WA State DOH | 29

Conclusions—1



WHPAs created with the CFR method **tend to include areas that do not need protection**

Conclusions—2



WHPAs created with the CFR method sometimes include the WHPA defined by modeling, **but often do not include areas that need protection**

Conclusions—3



WHPAs created with the CFR method tend to

- Erroneously identify potential hazards.
- Under-rank hazards that are identified by modeling

Nearly 2,000 hazards here were identified by the CFR method, but not the numerical modeling method

What You Put Into It/What You Get Out of It

| | | Modeling Approach | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | CFR | Analytical Modeling | Hydrogeologic Mapping | Numerical Modeling (Existing Model) | Numerical Modeling (New Model) |
| Effort | Time | quick | moderately quick | weeks to months | moderately quick | months |
| | Cost | minimal | relatively low | moderately expensive | relatively low | expensive |
| | Required Data | low | moderate | high | low | extremely high |
| | Technical Expertise Required | low | moderate | high | high | high |
| | Software | none | special modeling software | special modeling software (optional) | special modeling software | special modeling software |
| Result | Accuracy | low | moderate | high | very high | very high |

Benefits of Moving from CFR to Modeling



- Improved reliability & predictability
- Improved planning certainty
- Better able to identify contaminants
- Better able to correspond to zoning

but...





We can help!

Source Water Protection Funding Basics

- Available for all non-DWSRF projects & project costs
- Available to all nonprofit Group A systems
- Available year around until funding is exhausted
- \$30,000 per project (unless regional)



Using Source Water Protection Funding



- Studies, monitoring, modeling
- Projects must be expected to provide long-term benefit to drinking water quality or quantity
- Need to meet all contracting requirements
 - Cost reimbursement
 - o 30-45 days contract execution
 - Eligible to receive federal grant
- Local Assistance Grant Program

Successful Projects

- Preparations needed to acquire 250 acres of priority watershed
- Update wellhead protection areas using modeling method
- Forest management plans to maintain or enhance water quality
- Watershed protection through community outreach & education
- Nutrient contamination study
- Alternate supply studies—hydrogeological studies
- Critical aquifer mapping

Drinking Water Provider Partnership

- Restore & protect the health of watersheds that communities depend on for drinking water while also benefiting aquatic & riparian ecosystems, including native fish
- Support local partnerships between drinking water providers, landowners & restoration practitioners
- \$10,000 \$50,000 per project
- Proposal deadline 1/07/2023
- O Application link <u>www.workingwatersgeos.org</u>



WA Dept of Ecology Funding Sources

| Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CWSRF | Loans |
|---|-------------|--------|
| Stormwater Financial Assistance Program | SFAP | Grants |
| Centennial Clean Water Program | Centennial | Grants |
| Clean Water Act Section 319 Program | Section 319 | Grants |

USDA—NRCS

- Farm Bill requires 10% funding to benefit drinking water
- Funding goes to producers as a cost share
- Opportunity to partner with conservation district & other regional agricultural partners





Need to know more? Need help?

Contact Information

Nikki Guillot 360-236-3114 Nikki.guillot@doh.wa.gov





